International Petroleum Corp.

A Lundin Group Company

International Petroleum Corp.

Internationally Focused Upstream Company

August 2019

NC00114 08.19

International Petroleum Corp.

Corporate Strategy

- **Deliver operational excellence**
- **Maintain financial resilience**
- **Maximize the value of our resource base**
- **Grow through M&A**

NC00133 p09 04.19

International Petroleum Corp.

Q2 2019 Highlights

Production Guidance	- Q2 production at **46,100** boepd - Expect to be toward lower end of **46,000 to 50,000** boepd full year guidance - 2019 forecast exit rate **>50,000** boepd
Operating Costs[1]	- Q2 operating costs of **12.6** USD/boe; ahead of guidance - Full year guidance of 12.9 USD/boe retained
Organic Growth	- Capital expenditure guidance retained at **188** MUSD - Drilling operations ongoing in Canada, France & Malaysia
Operating Cash Flow[1]	- Strong cash flow generation - Full year 2019 OCF forecast of **163 to 330** MUSD - 1H OCF of **160** MUSD, **48**% of high end guidance at **70** USD/bbl Brent (Brent avg 66 USD/bbl)
Liquidity	- Capital programme remains fully funded from cash flow
Resource Base[2]	- **>2x** increase to 288 MMboe; >1.3 billion boe 2P+2C; 16 yr RLI
Shareholder Value[2]	- **37**% increase in NAV per share to **12.40** USD, IPC trading at **68**% discount
Business Development	- Opportunistic approach to further acquisitions
HSE	- No material incidents

[1] Non-IFRS measure, see MD&A

[2] As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Track Record of Reserves Growth

- **Proven track record of reserves increases through organic growth**
- **103% reserves replacement ratio in 2018[1]**

174% in France	⇒	Villeperdue reservoir performance upgrade and Villeperdue West project
109% in Malaysia	⇒	Addition of 3 infill wells and reservoir performance upgrade
98% in Canada - Suffield	⇒	Gas optimisation performance

- **Year on year reserve increases in all countries**

France



Malaysia



Canada - Suffield Asset



1) See Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Resource Growth

- **>100% reserves replacement in 2018**
- **More than doubled 2P reserves to 288 MMboe [(1)]**
- **Increased reserves life index (RLI) from 11 to 16 years [(1)]**
- **More than 17x increase in Contingent Resource base [(1)]**

Net Reserves and Contingent Resources >1.3 bn boe



(1) As at December 31, 2018. See Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Production Growth

- **Expect full year production at lower end of 46,000 to 50,000 boepd range**
- **> 50,000 boepd exit rate forecast**



International Petroleum Corp.

2019 Capital Budget

- **Capital programme guidance of 188 MUSD**
  - Fully funded from 2019 cash flow

Canada – Suffield

- **Oil drilling and gas optimisation**
  - *On track/ahead of expectation*
- **N2N EOR project on track for Q4 startup**

Canada – Onion Lake / Other

- **Onion Lake production optimisation**
  - *On track, slower ramp up due to cold weather*
  - *Produced water skid installed & commissioning ongoing*
- **Blackrod pilot (1 well pair)**
  - *Commenced drilling July 2019*
- **Play openers: conventional oil drilling**
  - *5 wells; opens up to 130 drilling locations*
- **Blackrod land acquisition in Q2 2019**
  - *243 MMboe CR [1]*

Malaysia

- **3 infill wells on track for Q4 startup**
- **2 appraisal wells completed**
  - *Results favour A20 over A14 location*

France

- **Execution of Vert-La-Gravelle Phase 1**
  - *Spudded Q2*

[1] As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Organic Growth

- **Targeting growth in all countries**







Conventional oil play openers

International Petroleum Corp.

Operating Cash Flow (MUSD)[1]



[1] *Non-IFRS measure, See MD&A*

[2] *Including OCF related to Netherlands assets disposed in December 2018*

[3] *At mid-point of 2019 production guidance*

International Petroleum Corp.

Net Asset Value (MUSD) (1)



1) As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

2) Non-IFRS measure, see MD&A

3) Based on the price of IPC shares as at August 5th, 2019, converted to USD (SEK 37.80 ; SEK/USD 9.61)

International Petroleum Corp.

Net Asset Value Per Share vs Share Price[1]



1) As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Appendix

2019 Activity

International Petroleum Corp.

2019 Production Guidance

- **Expect full year production towards lower end of 46,000 to 50,000 boepd range**
- **Expect lower end of guidance range for Q3**
- **Production growth targeted in all countries**
  - Suffield gas optimisation and oil drilling
  - Onion Lake ramp up
  - Malaysia infill wells
  - France Vert-La-Gravelle project
- **Exit rate of 50,000 boepd retained**





NC00133 p04 08.19

International Petroleum Corp.

Operating Costs [1]

- **2019 full year operating costs forecast at 12.9 USD/boe**
  - Includes production optimisation, workovers and maintenance provisions
- **Q2 operating costs below guidance at 12.6 USD/boe**

Net Unit Operating Costs
(USD/boe)



2019 Guidance



[1] Non-IFRS measure, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

OPEX shown is net of self to self lease payments

International Petroleum Corp.

Appendix

Canada

IPC – Canada
Asset Overview – >1 Billion Barrels of Resource [1]



Suffield Area

- Conventional heavy oil and natural gas
- Q2 2019 production 25,400 boepd
- Focus on gas optimisation and oil development projects in 2019

	MMboe
2P Reserves	100.5
2C Contingent Resources	48.8

Onion Lake

- Thermal and conventional heavy oil
- Q2 2019 production 9,600 boepd
- Phase 2 Onion Lake thermal facilities completed in 2018

	MMbbls
2P Reserves	142.2
2C Contingent Resources	24.7

Blackrod

- Thermal heavy oil
- IPC's single largest contingent resource opportunity
- Third pilot well pair planned for 2019

	MMbbls
2P Reserves	–
2C Contingent Resources	987



Other Conventional Heavy Oil

- Mooney alkaline-surfactant-polymer flood
- John Lake and Reita Lake conventional heavy oil

	MMbbls
2P Reserves	17.7
2C Contingent Resources	15.9

[1] 2P reserves and 2C resources as at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

IPC – Canada
Suffield Area – Oil Development

- **2019 firm programme – 25 wells**
  - Locations spread across South Gibson, Gibson Lake, North Dieppe, and N2N areas
  - Progress preparations for 2020 drilling campaign

- **Favourable economic returns in current price environment**
  - Breakeven oil price ~23 USD/bbl WCS price



Example - South Gibson



IPC – Canada
Suffield Area – Gas Optimisation

- **Successfully offset shallow gas declines in 2019**
  - Extensive well swabbing programme
  - Execution of 50 well recompletions
  - Capital budget to execute an additional ~100 recompletions
  - Low breakeven 0.2 to 1.6 CAD/Mcf

Suffield Gas Annual Historical Decline Rates [1]



Example - Suffield Area Gas Production



(1) IHS Accumap *(2) June 2019 vs YE 2018*

IPC – Canada

Suffield N2N EOR Project

- **N2N enhanced oil recovery project commenced**
  - High value project given 22 MCAD Cenovus pre funding
  - Facility CAPEX and 9 wells already completed (Cenovus)
  - Additional 8 wells, 3 conversions and facility commissioning required
  - Expected peak production adds of 1,250 bopd in 2–3 years (18% increase on current Suffield oil production)
  - Strong economic returns, even at low prices
  - Expected startup Q4 2019

Breakeven

WCS @ ~26 USD/bbl

N2N Facilities

N2N 3D view



IPC – Canada

Onion Lake Thermal Asset Overview

Onion Lake Area

- Thermal facilities completed in 2018
- Four pads on production
- Resource base supports 15,000 to 20,000 bopd for more than 20 years with 5 CAD/bbl sustaining capital

Management focus

- Maintain operational excellence
- Execute facility optimisation projects, including water intake solutions
- Startup of production on sustaining F-Pad in Q4 2019
- Prepare surface location for sustaining production pad D'



IPC Canada
Onion Lake Thermal Production Capacity

- **Instantaneous steam oil ratio ~2.5 at nameplate capacity(1)**
  - Top quartile performance for Canadian thermal projects
- **F-Pad ramp up in 2019 delayed as a result of abnormally cold weather in Q1 2019**
- **Facility optimisation work ongoing**



Active AB/SK Thermal Projects - Last 12 Months Trailing SOR (Jan-Dec 2018)



1) SOR reflected during realised production plateau

** SOR reflected during realized production plateau*
^ Average SOR of Husky's Bolney, Celtic, Edam, Paradise Hill, Pikes Peak, Rush Lake, Sandall, and Vawn thermal heavy oil projects

IPC – Canada
Blackrod Asset Overview





- **Blackrod area**
  - Amongst the best greenfield SAGD projects in Canada
  - Regulatory approval in place for Phase 1 of 80,000 bopd multi-phase project
  - Two successful stages of field piloting complete
  - 100% owned and operated

- **Management Focus**
  - Execute and put on stream 3rd pilot well pair to test longer horizontal well length and advanced completion design
  - Successful results integrated into revised commercial development plan will reduce number of well pads required to reach design rates, significantly reducing capital requirements



IPC – Canada

Blackrod Project – Acquired Strategic Lands in Q2 2019

- **Acquired 243 MMboe[1] resource at very low cost in Q2 2019**
  - Among the best quality reservoir and thickest pay in Blackrod
- **Project economics improve with well pair 3 success**
  - Longer wells with smart completion can reduce pre-production capex
  - Produced water recycle skid further reduces cost base (Onion Lake)
- **Low cost opportunity to lock in further upside**

Blackrod Project



X-Section



1) *As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A*

International Petroleum Corp.

Canadian Macro Supply and Pipeline Egress

- **December 2018 announcement of 325 Mbopd (8.7%) production curtailment in Alberta**
  - Reduced through time to125 Mbopd for September
- **Decrease in storage levels to 27.2 MMbbls in July, the lowest level since November 2017 (37 MMbbls)**
- **Increase in rail shipments (July approx. 250 Mbpd) with 500 Mbpd capacity available**
- **Increase in incremental pipeline capacity**
  - +50 Mbpd (Keystone, TC Energy)
  - +80 Mbpd (Rangeland out of Plains Edmonton)
  - +50 Mbpd (Enbridge Express, 2019) (Midstream Canada, 2021)
  - +85 Mbpd (Enbridge Mainline) late 2019
  - +265 Mbpd increases to 2021
- **WCS differential 11 USD/bbl in H1 2019 vs 39 USD/bbl in Q4 2018**

Western Canada oil supply vs. pipeline Egress



Source: GMP FirstEnergy, CAPP, Alberta Energy Regulator, Company disclosure, as at March 2019

International Petroleum Corp.

Appendix

Malaysia

IPC – Malaysia
Asset Overview



- **Reservoir and operational performance ahead of expectation**
- **Proven track record of reserve additions**
- **Successful programme of high value infill wells**
- **Focus on near field and in field production growth**



Bertam Field



1) As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

NC00118 p02 02.19

IPC – Malaysia
2019 Capital Programme



3 Infill wells completed (2016 & 2018)

- 2018 infills paid back in ~6 months



3 Infill wells planned for 2019 execution

- ~1 year payback
- ~30 USD/boe breakeven

NC00113 p02 08.19

IPC – Malaysia
A15 Location

- **Year on year reserves increases in A15 area**
  - Water cut delayed from pre-drill expectations
  - Oil in place larger than initial expectation
- **2019 Programme**
  - Landing pilot identified good potential for the third infill well





1) See Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Appendix

France

France Asset Overview



- **Paris and Aquitaine Basins**
  - Long life low decline assets
  - Strong reservoir performance in 2018
  - Reserve replacement ratio of 174% in 2018
  - Focus on undeveloped reserves and contingent resources



Villeperdue Facilities

1) As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

IPC – France
Resource Maturation (1)

- **Proven track record of resource growth**



Villeperdue 3.8 MMboe

- Largest single contingent resource in France
- Villeperdue West matured to reserves in 2018



2C Contingent Resources
15.9 MMboe(1)

Triassic Opportunities, 7.2 MMboe

- Requires horizontal wells to unlock potential

Villeperdue West - Potential Sanction 2019



Villeperdue Reservoir Thickness

Vert-La-Gravelle - Development Opportunity

- Technology prover for Rhetian contingent resources



Vert-La-Gravelle Hydrocarbon Saturation

1) As at December 31, 2018, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

IPC – France

Vert-La-Gravelle Project

- **Project commenced in Q2 2019**
  - Targeting unswept oil in central and southern areas
  - Spudded Q2 2019
  - 2 horizontal producers and 1 injector (phase 1)
  - Further upside from southern flank extension
  - 23 MEUR of facilities built and commissioned in 2014
- **Application of tried and tested technology seeks to unlock significant value**

Breakeven oil price ~43 USD/boe



Vert-La-Gravelle Hydrocarbon Saturation

IPC – France

Villeperdue West Development Overview

- **Undeveloped potential in western flank**
  - Oil-water contact extends beyond current wellstock
  - 3D seismic derisked development potential
  - Western wells have lower water cut than main field
  - Field infrastructure already in place

- **Investment decision expected during 2019**





International Petroleum Corp.

Appendix

Financial

International Petroleum Corp.

Economic Assumptions [1]

Oil in USD/bbl	Low Case	Base Case	High Case
Brent	50	60	70
WTI	44	52	60
WCS (-20 USD/bbl)	24	32	40
Realised price in Canada	◀——	WCS -5	——▶

Gas in CAD/mcf			
Empress	2.50	2.50	2.50

Sensitivities

WTI-WCS differential (USD/bbl)	25	20	15
Empress gas price (CAD/mcf)	2.25	2.50	2.75

[1] *Note that the WTI-WCS differential for Q1 2019 takes actuals into account*

International Petroleum Corp.

Margin Netback [1] (USD/boe)

	2019 Forecast			2018 Actual
USD/bbl — *Average Brent oil price (WTI)*	Low	Base	High	
WTI - WCS differential (20) => **WCS**	50 (44) / 24	60 (52) / 32	70 (60) / 40	71
Production guidance	46,000–50,000 boepd			34,400 boepd
Revenue	23.0	27.2	31.8	36.2
Cost of operations - Base	-9.8	-9.8	-9.8	-9.5
- Projects	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-0.9
Tariff/transportation expenses	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6	-1.4
Production taxes	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6
Operating costs [2]	**-12.8**	**-12.9**	**-12.9**	**-12.4**
Cost of blending	-1.1	-1.3	-1.5	-2.0
Change in inventory position	0.3	0.3	0.3	–
Cash Margin Netback	**9.4**	**13.3**	**17.7**	**21.8**

[1] See Reader Advisory

[2] Non-IFRS measure, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Operating Cash Flow [1] and EBITDA Netback [2] (USD/boe)

	2019 Forecast		
Brent oil price (USD/bbl)	50	60 (Base)	70
Cash Margin Netback	9.4	13.3	17.7
Cash Taxes	–	-0.1	-0.3
Operating Cash Flow Netback	**9.4**	**13.2**	**17.4**
EBITDA Netback	**8.6**	**12.5**	**16.9**

[1] Non-IFRS measure, see Reader Advisory and MD&A. At mid-point of 2019 production guidance

[2] See Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Profit Netback (USD/boe) [1]

	2019 Forecast		
Brent oil price (USD/bbl)	50	60 (Base)	70
Cash Margin Netback	9.4	13.3	17.7
Depletion/Depreciation	-9.2	-9.2	-9.2
G&A	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8
Financial items, net	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8
Profit/loss Before Tax	**-2.5**	**1.5**	**5.9**
Tax	1.4	0.4	-0.9
Net Profit	**-1.1**	**1.9**	**5.0**

[1] *See Reader Advisory and MD&A*

International Petroleum Corp.

Oil Sensitivity to WTI/WCS Differential

	2019 Forecast			
Brent oil price (USD/bbl)	*60.00*	*60.00*	*60.00*	
WTI/WCS Differential (USD/bbl)	*25.00*	*20.00*	*15.00*	*Difference*
Total Revenue (USD/boe)	25.7	27.2	28.7	± 1.5
Operating Cash Flow [1] (USD/boe)	11.7	13.2	14.7	± 1.5
EBITDA [1] (USD/boe)	11.1	12.6	14.1	± 1.5

- **Same difference applies when differential changes by 5 USD/bbl at Brent prices of 50 or 70 USD/bbl**

[1] Non-IFRS measure, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Gas Sensitivity to Realised Canadian Gas Price

	2019 Forecast			
		Base Case		
Brent oil price (USD/bbl)	*60.00*	*60.00*	*60.00*	
WTI/WCS Differential (USD/bbl)	*20.00*	*20.00*	*20.00*	
Gas price (CAD/mcf)	*2.25*	*2.50*	*2.75*	*Difference*
Total Revenue (USD/boe)	26.8	27.2	27.6	± 0.4
Operating Cash Flow [(1)] (USD/boe)	12.9	13.2	13.6	± 0.4
EBITDA [(1)] (USD/boe)	12.2	12.6	13.0	± 0.4

[(1)] Non-IFRS measure, see Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Liquidity and Funding (USD/boe)

	2019 Forecast		
Brent oil price (USD/bbl)	**50**	**60 (Base)**	**70**
Operating Cash Flow Netback	9.4	13.2	17.4
General and Administration Costs	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8
Cash Financial Items	-1.2	-1.1	-1.0
Cash Flow Available for Investment	**7.4**	**11.3**	**15.6**
Development Capex	7.7	7.7	7.7
Exploration & Appraisal Capex	1.5	1.5	1.4
Working Cap. (incl. decommissioning)	1.1	1.4	2.2
	10.3	**10.6**	**11.3**
Free Cash Flow	**-2.9**	**0.7**	**4.3**
Anticipated Liquidity from existing Credit Facilities	**9.6**	**9.6**	**9.6**
Liquidity Headroom at end of 2019	**6.7**	**10.3**	**13.9**

MUSD
134
26

International Petroleum Corp.

Brent Price [1]

Price Decks Brent USD/bbl [1]



[1] See Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.
Canadian Pricing [1]





[1] See Reader Advisory and MD&A

International Petroleum Corp.

Appendix

Financial

Second Quarter 2019 Highlights

First Six Months 2019

Financial Highlights

	Second Quarter 2019	First Six Months 2019
Production (boepd)	46,100	45,200
Average Dated Brent Oil Price (USD/boe)	68.9	66.0
Operating costs (USD/boe) (1)	12.6	12.9
Operating cash flow (MUSD) (1)	76.5	159.6
EBITDA (MUSD)[1]	74.6	156.3
Net result (MUSD)	25.7	58.9

(1) Non-IFRS Measure, see MD&A

Second Quarter 2019

Realised Oil Prices



NCF00138 p15 07.19

Second Quarter 2019

Realised Gas Prices



NCF00138 p16 07.19

First Six Months 2019

Netback [1] (USD/boe)

	Second Quarter 2019	First Six Months 2019
Average Dated Brent oil price	*(68.9 USD/bbl)*	*(66.0 USD/bbl)*
Revenue	30.8	33.8
Cost of operations	-10.7	-11.0
Tariff and transportation	-1.5	-1.5
Production taxes	-0.4	-0.4
Operating costs [2]	-12.6	-12.9
Cost of blending	-1.5	-1.4
Inventory movements	1.6	0.3
Revenue – production costs	**18.3**	**19.8**
Cash taxes	-0.1	-0.3
Operating cash flow [2]	**18.2**	**19.5**
General and administration costs [3]	-0.6	-0.7
EBITDA [2]	**17.7**	**19.1**

[1] Based on production volumes [2] Non-IFRS Measures, see MD&A [3] Adjusted for depreciation

First Six Months 2019

Net Debt [1]



[1] Non-IFRS Measure, see MD&A

First Six Months 2019

Liquidity and Hedging

Credit Facilities

- Two revolving credit facilities: International (200 MUSD) and Canadian (375 MCAD)
- IPC combined the two Canadian credit facilities into a single facility in Q2
- Second lien notes repaid (75 MCAD) in June 2019
- Lower cost of debt going forward

Hedging

	bbl/d	Floor (WTI in USD)	Cap (WTI in USD)
Q3 2019	7,500	50.00	72.88
Q4 2019	3,000	49.45	68.15
Q1 2020	3,500	50.00	77.50
Q2 2020	6,150	35.00	71.74

- No further hedging obligations following the refinancing of the Canadian financing facilities

Reader Advisory

Forward Looking Statements
This presentation contains statements and information which constitute "forward-looking statements" or "forward-looking information" (within the meaning of applicable securities legislation). Such statements and information (together, "forward-looking statements") relate to future events, including the Corporation's future performance, business prospects or opportunities. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are expressly qualified by this cautionary statement. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this presentation, unless otherwise indicated. IPC does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update these forward-looking statements, except as required by applicable laws.

All statements other than statements of historical fact may be forward-looking statements. Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, forecasts, guidance, budgets, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance (often, but not always, using words or phrases such as "seek", "anticipate", "plan", "continue", "estimate", "expect", "may", "will", "project", "forecast", "predict", "potential", "targeting", "intend", "could", "might", "should", "believe", "budget" and similar expressions) are not statements of historical fact and may be "forward-looking statements". Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to: : IPC's intention and ability to continue to implement strategies to build long-term shareholder value; IPC's intention to review future potential growth opportunities; the ability of IPC's portfolio of assets to provide a solid foundation for organic and inorganic growth; the continued facility uptime and reservoir performance in IPC's areas of operation; the proposed Vert La Gravelle development project, including drilling, and other organic growth opportunities in France, including the Villeperdue West project; the proposed third phase of infill drilling in Malaysia and the ability to identify and mature additional locations, and the production uplift from such drilling; future development potential of the Suffield operations, including continued and future oil drilling and gas optimization programs and the N2N EOR development project (including estimated peak rates and timing of such project); the proposed further conventional oil drilling in Canada, including the ability of such drilling to identify further drilling or development opportunities; development of the Blackrod project, including the land position acquired in May 2019, in Canada; the results of the facility optimization program and the work to debottleneck the facilities and injection capability and the F-Pad production, as well as water intake and steam generation issues, at Onion Lake Thermal; 2019 production range, exit rate, operating costs and capital expenditure estimates; potential further acquisition opportunities; estimates of reserves; estimates of contingent resources; estimates of prospective resources; the ability to generate free cash flows and use that cash to repay debt and to continue to deleverage; and future drilling and other exploration and development activities.

Statements relating to "reserves"; "contingent resources" and "prospective resources" are also deemed to be forward-looking statements, as they involve the implied assessment, based on certain estimates and assumptions, that the reserves and resources described exist in the quantities predicted or estimated and that the reserves and resources can be profitably produced in the future. Ultimate recovery of reserves or resources is based on forecasts of future results, estimates of amounts not yet determinable and assumptions of management.

The forward-looking statements are based on certain key expectations and assumptions made by IPC, including expectations and assumptions concerning: prevailing commodity prices and currency exchange rates; applicable royalty rates and tax laws; interest rates; future well production rates and reserve and contingent resource volumes; operating costs; the timing of receipt of regulatory approvals; the performance of existing wells; the success obtained in drilling new wells; anticipated timing and results of capital expenditures; the sufficiency of budgeted capital expenditures in carrying out planned activities; the timing, location and extent of future drilling operations; the successful completion of acquisitions and dispositions; the benefits of acquisitions; the state of the economy and the exploration and production business in the jurisdictions in which IPC operates and globally; the availability and cost of financing, labour and services; and the ability to market crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids successfully.

Although IPC believes that the expectations and assumptions on which such forward-looking statements are based are reasonable, undue reliance should not be placed on the forward-looking statements because IPC can give no assurances that they will prove to be correct. Since forward-looking statements address future events and conditions, by their very nature they involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors and risks. These include, but are not limited to: the risks associated with the oil and gas industry in general such as operational risks in development, exploration and production; delays or changes in plans with respect to exploration or development projects or capital expenditures; the uncertainty of estimates and projections relating to reserves, resources, production, revenues, costs and expenses; health, safety and environmental risks; commodity price and exchange rate fluctuations; interest rate fluctuations; marketing and transportation; loss of markets; environmental risks; competition; incorrect assessment of the value of acquisitions; failure to complete or realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions or dispositions; the ability to access sufficient capital from internal and external sources; failure to obtain required regulatory and other approvals; and changes in legislation, including but not limited to tax laws, royalties and environmental regulations. Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list of factors is not exhaustive.

Additional information on these and other factors that could affect IPC, or its operations or financial results, are included in the management discussion and analysis for the three months ended June 30, 2019 (MD&A) (See "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information" therein), the Corporation's Annual Information Form (AIF) for the year ended December 31, 2018 (See "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information", "Reserves and Resources Advisory" and " Risk Factors" therein) and other reports on file with applicable securities regulatory authorities, which may be accessed through the SEDAR website (www.sedar.com) or IPC's website (www.international-petroleum.com).

Non-IFRS Measures
References are made in this press release to "operating cash flow" (OCF), "Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortization" (EBITDA), "operating costs" and "net debt"/"net cash", which are not generally accepted accounting measures under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS and, therefore, may not be comparable with definitions of OCF, EBITDA, operating costs and net debt/net cash that may be used by other public companies. Non-IFRS measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures prepared in accordance with IFRS.

Management believes that OCF, EBITDA, operating costs and net debt/net cash are useful supplemental measures that may assist shareholders and investors in assessing the cash generated by and the financial performance and position of the Corporation. Management also uses non-IFRS measures internally in order to facilitate operating performance comparisons from period to period, prepare annual operating budgets and assess the Corporation's ability to meet its future capital expenditure and working capital requirements. Management believes these non-IFRS measures are important supplemental measures of operating performance because they highlight trends in the core business that may not otherwise be apparent when relying solely on IFRS financial measures. Management believes such measures allow for assessment of the Corporation's operating performance and financial condition on a basis that is more consistent and comparable between reporting periods. The Corporation also believes that securities analysts, investors and other interested parties frequently use non-IFRS measures in the evaluation of issuers.

The definition and reconciliation of each non-IFRS measure is presented in IPC's MD&A (See "Non-IFRS Measures" therein).

Disclosure of Oil and Gas Information
This presentation contains references to estimates of 2P reserves and resources attributed to the Corporation's oil and gas assets. Gross reserves / resources are the total working interest (operating or non-operating) share reserves before the deduction of any royalties and without including any royalty interests receivable.

Reserves estimates, contingent resource estimates and estimates of future net revenue in respect of IPC's oil and gas assets in the Suffield area of Canada are effective as of December 31, 2018, and are included in the report prepared by McDaniel & Associates Consultants Ltd. (McDaniel), an independent qualified reserves evaluator, in accordance with National Instrument 51-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (NI 51-101) and the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook (the COGE Handbook), and using McDaniel's January 1, 2019 price forecasts.

Reserves estimates, contingent resource estimates and estimates of future net revenue in respect of IPC's oil and gas assets in the Onion Lake, Blackrod and Mooney areas of Canada are effective as of December 31, 2018, and are included in the report prepared by Sproule Associates Limited (Sproule), an independent qualified reserves evaluator, in accordance with NI 51-101 and the COGE Handbook, and using McDaniel's January 1, 2019 price forecasts.

Reserve estimates, contingent resource estimates, prospective resource estimates and estimates of future net revenue in respect of IPC's oil and gas assets in France and Malaysia are effective as of December 31, 2018, and are included in the report prepared by ERC Equipoise Ltd. (ERCE), an independent qualified reserves auditor, in accordance with NI 51-101 and the COGE Handbook, and using McDaniel's January 1, 2019 price forecasts.

Reader Advisory

The contingent resource estimates in respect of the oil and gas assets acquired in May 2019 in the Blackrod area of Canada are effective as of December 31, 2018, and have been evaluated by Sproule, in accordance with NI 51-101 and the COGE Handbook. The lands acquired will be part of the planned SAGD development at Blackrod and have the same classification (Development on Hold) as the other Blackrod lands. The same chance of development risk (77%) has been applied to the acquired lands as was used for Phase 2 and Phase 3 of the Blackrod project. These lands will be incorporated into the Phase 2 and Phase 3 development plan going forward. Additional details regarding the planned development at Blackrod, including an assessment of the contingencies, timing and economics for the proposed development, are available in the AIF.

The price forecasts used in the reserve reports are available on the website of McDaniel (www.mcdan.com), and are contained in the MCR.

The reserves life index (RLI) is calculated by dividing the 2P reserves of 288 MMboe as at December 31, 2018, by the mid-point of the initial 2019 production guidance of 46,000 to 50,000 boepd. The reserves replacement ratio is based on 2P reserves of 129.1 MMboe as at December 31, 2017 (including the 2P reserves attributable to the acquisition of the Suffield area assets which completed on January 5, 2018), production during 2018 of 12.4 MMboe, additions to 2P reserves during 2018 of 12.7 MMboe, disposals of 2P reserves related to the disposal of the Netherlands assets of 1.6 MMboe and 2P reserves of 128.0 MMboe as at December 31, 2018 (excluding the 2P reserves attributable to the acquisition of BlackPearl which completed on December 14, 2018).

"2P reserves" means IPC's gross proved plus probable reserves. "Proved reserves" are those reserves that can be estimated with a high degree of certainty to be recoverable. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the estimated proved reserves. "Probable reserves" are those additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.

Contingent resources are those quantities of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from known accumulations using established technology or technology under development, but which are not currently considered to be commercially recoverable due to one or more contingencies. Contingencies are conditions that must be satisfied for a portion of contingent resources to be classified as reserves that are: (a) specific to the project being evaluated; and (b) expected to be resolved within a reasonable timeframe. Contingencies may include factors such as economic, legal, environmental, political, and regulatory matters, or a lack of markets. It is also appropriate to classify as contingent resources the estimated discovered recoverable quantities associated with a project in the early evaluation stage. Contingent resources are further classified in accordance with the level of certainty associated with the estimates and may be sub-classified based on a project maturity and/or characterized by their economic status.

There are three classifications of contingent resources: low estimate, best estimate and high estimate. Best estimate is a classification of estimated resources described in the COGE Handbook as being considered to be the best estimate of the quantity that will be actually recovered. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the best estimate. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 50% probability that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the best estimate.

Contingent resources are further classified based on project maturity. The project maturity subclasses include development pending, development on hold, development unclarified and development not viable. All of the Corporation's contingent resources are classified as either development on hold or development unclarified. Development on hold is defined as a contingent resource where there is a reasonable chance of development, but there are major non-technical contingencies to be resolved that are usually beyond the control of the operator. Development unclarified is defined as a contingent resource that requires further appraisal to clarify the potential for development and has been assigned a lower chance of development until contingencies can be clearly defined. Chance of development is the probability of a project being commercially viable.

References to "unrisked" contingent resources volumes means that the reported volumes of contingent resources have not been risked (or adjusted) based on the chance of commerciality of such resources. In accordance with the COGE Handbook for contingent resources, the chance of commerciality is solely based on the chance of development based on all contingencies required for the re-classification of the contingent resources as reserves being resolved. Therefore unrisked reported volumes of contingent resources do not reflect the risking (or adjustment) of such volumes based on the chance of development of such resources.

The contingent resources reported in this presentation are estimates only. The estimates are based upon a number of factors and assumptions each of which contains estimation error which could result in future revisions of the estimates as more technical and commercial information becomes available. The estimation factors include, but are not limited to, the mapped extent of the oil and gas accumulations, geologic characteristics of the reservoirs, and dynamic reservoir performance. There are numerous risks and uncertainties associated with recovery of such resources, including many factors beyond the Corporation's control. There is uncertainty that it will be commercially viable to produce any portion of the contingent resources referred to in this presentation.

2P reserves and contingent resources included in the reports of McDaniel, Sproule and ERCE have been aggregated in this presentation by IPC. Estimates of reserves, resources and future net revenue for individual properties may not reflect the same level of confidence as estimates of reserves, resources and future net revenue for all properties, due to aggregation. This presentation contains estimates of the net present value of the future net revenue from IPC's reserves. The estimated values of future net revenue disclosed in this presentation do not represent fair market value. There is no assurance that the forecast prices and cost assumptions used in the reserve evaluations will be attained and variances could be material.

References to "contingent resources" do not constitute, and should be distinguished from, references to "reserves". References to "prospective resources" do not constitute, and should be distinguished from, references to "contingent resources" and "reserves".

This presentation includes oil and gas metrics including "cash margin netback", "taxation netback", "operating cash flow netback", "cash taxes", "EBITDA netback" and "profit netback". Such metrics do not have a standardized meaning under IFRS or otherwise, and as such may not be reliable. This information should not be used to make comparisons.

"Cash margin netback" is calculated on a per boe basis as oil and gas sales, less operating, tariff/transportation and production tax expenses. Netback is a common metric used in the oil and gas industry and is used by management to measure operating results on a per boe basis to better analyze performance against prior periods on a comparable basis.

"Taxation netback" is calculated on a per boe basis as current tax charge/credit less deferred tax charge/credit. Taxation netback is used to measure taxation on a per boe basis.

"Operating cash flow netback" is calculated as cash margin netback less cash taxes. Operating cash flow netback is used to measure operating results on a per boe basis of cash flow.

"Cash taxes" is calculated as taxes payable in cash, and not only for accounting purposes. Cash taxes is used to measure cash flow.

"EBITDA netback" is calculated as cash margin netback less general and administration expenses. EBITDA netback is used by management to measure operating results on a per boe basis.

"Profit netback" is calculated as cash margin netback less depletion/depreciation, general and administration expenses and financial items. Profit netback is used by management to measure operating results on a per boe basis.

BOEs may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A BOE conversion ratio of 6 thousand cubic feet (Mcf) per 1 barrel (bbl) is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead. As the value ratio between natural gas and crude oil based on the current prices of natural gas and crude oil is significantly different from the energy equivalency of 6:1, utilizing a 6:1 conversion basis may be misleading as an indication of value.

Currency
All dollar amounts in this presentation are expressed in United States dollars, except where otherwise noted. References herein to USD mean United States dollars. References herein to CAD mean Canadian dollars.

International Petroleum Corp.